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Arizona, along with Alabama, Colorado, and Louisiana, is one of four states that allows municipalities to administer their own sales taxes.

Sales Tax Simplification Promised and Delayed

To simplify the sales tax reporting process, the Arizona Legislature passed a bill in 2012 that required the Department of Revenue to implement a central online portal by January 1, 2015, that would permit taxpayers who are required to pay municipal sales taxes that are not collected by the department the option of reporting and paying the taxes using the portal.1 In 2013 the Legislature passed a more comprehensive sales tax reform bill.2

The portal and Arizona’s other sales tax reforms were to take effect January 1, 2015. Some of the changes did go into effect on that date, such as Arizona’s cumbersome new rules governing the taxation of construction contractors3 and the rule that prevents municipalities from initiating a sales or use tax audit unless the company is engaged in business in only one municipality or the municipality is authorized by the department to conduct the audit.4

However, the most important simplifications — those that were required to issue all municipal sales tax licenses, process all municipal sales tax returns, and receive all municipal sales tax payments — did not go into effect on January 1, 2015, as planned because the department’s computer system was not ready to accommodate the changes. Rather, those important reforms were delayed — first until January 1, 2016,5 and then indefinitely6 — although the department now believes its computer system will be ready to accommodate those changes beginning this fall.

New Tax Forms and Location-Based Reporting Now Required

On June 29 the department announced that Form TPT-1, the state’s long-standing sales, use, and severance tax return, will not be accepted for reporting periods beginning on or after June 1, 2016.

Businesses that only have one location in Arizona and only report to one taxing jurisdiction in Arizona may use Form TPT-EZ. The department refers to that as a simplified form. While it is simplified compared with the new Form TPT-2, it is comparable to the department’s old form, Form TPT-1.

Businesses with more than one location in Arizona or that report to more than one Arizona taxing jurisdiction must use Form TPT-2. Taxpayers now must report their gross receipts and deductions separately for each business location in Arizona and for each reporting jurisdiction. Thus, for example, a business that operates two separate retail stores in Arizona is now required to report the gross

1Laws 2012, Ch. 332.
4Laws 2013, Ch. 255, section 18.
income and deductions for each store separately, even if the stores are located in the same municipality.

Electronic Reporting Now Required by Some

The department encourages all businesses to file their sales tax returns electronically at www.aztaxes.gov. As of July 1, 2016, businesses with two or more locations in Arizona are required to file Form TPT-2 electronically.

For Now, Non-Program Cities Still Collect Their Own Taxes

Although the instructions for Form TPT-EZ and Form TPT-2 indicate that all city taxes should be reported on those forms, a June 29, 2016, email from the department clarified that it will not begin collecting taxes for the state’s 14 non-program cities until sometime this fall.

Accordingly, for now, taxpayers must continue reporting taxes directly to Arizona’s non-program cities using the separate forms required by each city. Arizona’s non-program cities are Apache Junction, Avondale, Chandler, Douglas, Flagstaff, Glendale, Mesa, Nogales, Peoria, Phoenix, Prescott, Scottsdale, Tempe, and Tucson.

Practice Tip

To help taxpayers and tax professionals familiarize themselves with Arizona’s new reporting requirements, the department set up a page on its website with links to tax reporting guides, forms, tax rates, deduction codes, information concerning location-based reporting, and more.7 The department also published instructional videos on its YouTube channel.
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